International Journal
of
Contemporary Advanced
Mathematics (IJCM)

Volume 1, Issue 2

Number of issues per year: 6

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY ADVANCD MATHEMATICS (IJCM)

VOLUME 1, ISSUE 2, 2011

EDITED BY
DR. NABEEL TAHIR

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF COMPUTATIONAL LINGUISTICS (IJCM)

CSC Publishers, 2011

EDITORIAL PREFACE

The International Journal of Contemporary Advanced Mathematics (IJCM) is an effective medium for interchange of high quality theoretical and applied research in Computational Linguistics from theoretical research to application development. This is the *Second* Issue of Volume *One* of IJCM. The Journal is published bi-monthly, with papers being peer reviewed to high international standards. International Journal of Contemporary Advanced Mathematics (IJCM) publish papers that describe state of the art techniques, scientific research studies and results in computational linguistics in general but on theoretical linguistics, psycholinguistics, natural language processing, grammatical inference, machine learning and cognitive science computational models of linguistic theorizing: standard and enriched context free models, principles and parameters models, optimality theory and researchers working within the minimalist program, and other approaches.

IJCM give an opportunity to scientists, researchers, and vendors from different disciplines of Artificial Intelligence to share the ideas, identify problems, investigate relevant issues, share common interests, explore new approaches, and initiate possible collaborative research and system development. This journal is helpful for the researchers and R&D engineers, scientists all those persons who are involve in Contemporary Advanced Mathematics.

Highly professional scholars give their efforts, valuable time, expertise and motivation to IJCM as Editorial board members. All submissions are evaluated by the International Editorial Board. The International Editorial Board ensures that significant developments in image processing from around the world are reflected in the IJCM publications.

IJCM editors understand that how much it is important for authors and researchers to have their work published with a minimum delay after submission of their papers. They also strongly believe that the direct communication between the editors and authors are important for the welfare, quality and wellbeing of the Journal and its readers. Therefore, all activities from paper submission to paper publication are controlled through electronic systems that include electronic submission, editorial panel and review system that ensures rapid decision with least delays in the publication processes.

To build its international reputation, we are disseminating the publication information through Google Books, Google Scholar, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), Open J Gate, ScientificCommons, Scribd, CiteSeerX Docstoc and many more. Our International Editors are working on establishing ISI listing and a good impact factor for IJCM. We would like to remind you that the success of our journal depends directly on the number of quality articles submitted for review. Accordingly, we would like to request your participation by submitting quality manuscripts for review and encouraging your colleagues to submit quality manuscripts for review. One of the great benefits we can provide to our prospective authors is the mentoring nature of our review process. IJCM provides authors with high quality, helpful reviews that are shaped to assist authors in improving their manuscripts.

Editorial Board Members
International Journal of Contemporary Advanced Mathematics (IJCM)

Table of Content

Volume 1, Issue 2, January / February 2011

Pages

Bh. Satyanarayana, D. Nagaraju, M. Babu Prasad & Sk. Mohiddin Shaw

On the Dimension of the Quotient Ring R/K Where K is a Complement

Satyanarayana Bhavanari   bhavanari2002@yahoo.co.in
Department of Mathematics,
Acharya Nagarjuna University,
Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, AP, India.

Nagaraju Dasari   dasari.nagaraju@gmail.com
Department of Science & Humanities (Mathematics Division),
HITS, Hindustan University, OMR,
Padur, Chennai – 603 103, India.

Babu Prasad Munagala
Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh,
India.

Mohiddin Shaw Shaik   mohiddin_shaw26@yahoo.co.in
Department of Mathematics,
Acharya Nagarjuna University,
Nagarjuna Nagar-522 510, AP, India.

Abstract

The aim of the present paper is to obtain some interesting results related to the concept "finite dimension" in the theory of associative rings R with respect to two sided ideals. It is known that if an ideal H of R has finite dimension, then there exist uniform ideals U_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$ of R such that the sum $U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_n$ is essential in H. This n is independent of choice of uniform ideals and we call it as dimension of H (we write dim H, in short). We obtain some important relations between the concepts complement ideals and essential ideals. Finally, we proved that dim(R/K) = dim R – dim K for a complement ideal K of R. We include some necessary examples.

Keywords: Ring, Two Sided Ideal, Essential Ideal, Uniform Ideal, Finite Dimension, Complement Ideal.

1. INTRODUCTION

The dimension of a vector space is defined as the number of elements in the basis. One can define a basis of a vector space as a maximal set of linearly independent vectors or a minimal set of vectors which span the space. The former when generalized to modules over rings becomes the concept of Goldie dimension. Goldie proved a structure theorem for modules which states that "a module with finite Goldie dimension (FGD, in short) contains a finite number of uniform submodules U_1, U_2, …, U_n whose sum is direct and essential in M". The number n obtained here is independent of the choice of U_1, U_2, …, U_n and it is called as Goldie dimension of M. The concept Goldie dimension in Modules was studied by several authors like Satyanarayana, Mohiddin Shaw.

Bh. Satyanarayana, D. Nagaraju, M. Babu Prasad & Sk. Mohiddin Shaw

If we consider ring as a module over itself, then the existing literature tells about dimension theory for ideals (i.e., two sided ideals) in case of commutative rings; and left (or right) ideals in case of associative (but not commutative) rings. So we can understand the structure theorem for associative rings in terms of one sided ideals only (that is, if R has FGD with respect to left (right) ideals, then there exist n uniform left (or right) ideals of R whose sum is direct and essential in R). This result cannot say about the structure theorem for associative rings in terms of two sided ideals.

To fill this gap, Satyanarayana, Nagaraju, Balamurugan & Godloza [4] started studying the concepts: complement, essential, uniform, finite dimension with respect to two sided ideals of R. We say a ring R has *finite dimension on ideals* (FDI, in short) if R does not contain an infinite number of non-zero ideals of R whose sum is direct. A non- zero ideal K of R is said to have *finite dimension on ideals of R* (FDIR, in short) if K does not contain an infinite number of non-zero ideals of R whose sum is direct. It is clear that if R has FDI, then every non-zero ideal of R has FDIR.

Now we state some definitions and results from [4 & 5] that are useful in the later part of this paper. We write "I $\trianglelefteq$ R" to denote "I is an ideal (two sided ideal) of R".

1.1 Definitions: Let I $\trianglelefteq$ R, J $\trianglelefteq$ R such that I $\subseteq$ J.
(i). We say that I is *essential* (or *ideal essential*) in J if it satisfies the following condition: K $\trianglelefteq$ R, K $\subseteq$ J, I $\cap$ K = (0) imply K = (0).
(ii). If I is essential in J and I $\neq$ J, then we say that J is a *proper essential extension* of I. If I is essential in J, then we denote this fact by I $\leq_e$ J.
(iii). If K $\trianglelefteq$ R, A $\trianglelefteq$ R and K is a maximal element in {I / I $\trianglelefteq$ R, I $\cap$ A = (0)}, then we say that K is a *complement* of A (or a *complement* in R).

1.2 Note: If A, B, C are ideals of R, A $\subseteq$ C, A $\cap$ B = (0) and C is a complement of B, then C $\oplus$ B $\leq_e$ R, and C is an essential extension of A.

1.3 Result (2.4 of [4]): (i) If I $\trianglelefteq$ R, J $\trianglelefteq$ R, K $\trianglelefteq$ R such that I $\leq_e$ J, and J $\leq_e$ K, then I $\leq_e$ K;
(ii) If I $\subseteq$ J $\subseteq$ K, then I $\leq_e$ K if and only if I $\leq_e$ J, and J $\leq_e$ K; and
(iii) If R, S are two rings, f: R $\to$ S is a ring isomorphism, and A is an ideal of R, then A $\leq_e$ R $\Leftrightarrow$ f(A) $\leq_e$ S.

1.4 Lemma (2.7 of [4]): Let K_1, K_2, ... K_t, L_1, L_2, ... L_t are ideals of R such that the sum $K_1 + K_2 + ... + K_t$ is direct and $L_i \subseteq K_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then $L_1 + L_2 + ... + L_t \leq_e K_1 + K_2 + ... + K_t$ $\Leftrightarrow$ $L_i \leq_e K_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$.

1.5 Definition: A non-zero ideal I of R is said to be *uniform* if (0) $\neq$ J $\trianglelefteq$ R, and J $\subseteq$ I $\Rightarrow$ J $\leq_e$ I.

1.6 Theorem (3.3 of [4]): (i) I is an uniform ideal $\Leftrightarrow$ L $\trianglelefteq$ R, K $\trianglelefteq$ R, L $\subseteq$ I, K $\subseteq$ I, L $\cap$ K = (0) $\Rightarrow$ L = (0) or K = (0).
(ii) Let R and S be two rings and f: R $\to$ S be ring isomorphism. If U $\trianglelefteq$ R, then U is uniform in R $\Leftrightarrow$ f(U) is uniform in S.
(iii) If U and K are two ideals of R such that U $\cap$ K = (0), then U is uniform in R $\Leftrightarrow$ (U + K)/K is uniform in R/K.
(iv). If R has FDI and (0) $\neq$ K $\trianglelefteq$ R, then K contains an uniform ideal of R.

Now we state the main theorem on [4].

1.7 Theorem (4.4 of [4]): Suppose $0 \neq H \trianglelefteq R$ and H has FDIR. Then the following conditions hold.
(i) (Existence) There exist uniform ideals $U_1, U_2, \ldots U_n$ of R whose sum is direct and essential in H;
(ii) (Uniqueness) If V_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$ are uniform ideals of R whose sum is direct and essential in H, then $k = n$.

The number is independent of the choice of the uniform ideals U_i, $1 \leq i \leq n$. This number n is called the *dimension* of H and it is denoted by dim H.

1.8 Theorem (2.2 of [5]): Suppose R has FDI.

(i). If $H \trianglelefteq R$, $K \trianglelefteq R$ and $H \subseteq K$, then dim H ≤ dim K;
(ii) If $(0) \neq A_i$ is an ideal of R for all i, $1 \leq i \leq t$ whose sum is direct, and $A_i \subseteq H$, $1 \leq i \leq t$, then dim H ≥ t;
(iii) H is uniform $\Leftrightarrow$ dim H = 1;
(iv) If H is a non-zero ideal of R, then dim H ≥ 1;
(v) If I_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$ are uniform ideals of R whose sum is direct, then $k \leq$ dim R. Moreover dim H = max{k / there exist uniform ideals I_i, $1 \leq i \leq k$ of R whose sum is direct, $I_i \subseteq H$, $1 \leq i \leq k$};
(vi). If n = dim R, then the number of summands in any decomposition of a given ideal I of R as a direct sum of non-zero ideals of R is at most n.; and
(vii) If f: R → S is an isomorphism and R has FDI, then S has FDI and dim R = dim S.

1.9 Result (2.3 of [5]): If H and K are ideals of R with $H \cap K = (0)$, then dim (K + H) **=** dim K + dim H.

1.10 Theorem (3.1 of [5]): If R has FDI with dim R = n and $H \trianglelefteq R$, then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i). $H \leq_e R$; (ii). dim H = dim R; and (iii). H contains a direct sum of n uniform ideals.

1.11 Proposition (3.4 of [5]): Suppose R has FDI and $K \trianglelefteq R$.
(i) K is a complement ideal $\Leftrightarrow$ K has no proper essential extensions; and
(ii) If K is a complement, then R/K has FDI, and dim(R/K) ≤ dim R.

The aim of the present paper is to continue the study of rings with FDI. Section-2, deals with the concepts: Complement and Essential Ideals. In Section-3, we include an example of an ideal K of R with dim R/K ≠ dim R – dim K. Finally, we proved that if K is a complement ideal of R, then dim R/K = dim R – dim K.

Throughout this paper R stands for a fixed (not necessarily commutative) ring with FDI.

2. COMPLEMENT AND ESSENTIAL IDEALS
2.1 Lemma: Let K be an ideal of R and π: R → R/K be the canonical epimorphism. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(i) K is a complement; and
(ii) For any ideal K^1 of R containing K, we have that K^1 is a complement in R if and only if $\pi(K^1)$ is complement in R/K.

Proof: *(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)*: Suppose that K is a complement of an ideal Z of R. Suppose K^1 is a complement ideal of R containing K. Now K^1 is a complement of some ideal S of R. To show $\pi(K^1)$ is a complement of $\pi(S)$, it is enough to verify that $\pi(K^1)$ is maximal with respect to the property $\pi(K^1) \cap \pi(S) = (0)$.

Let $x \in \pi(K^1) \cap \pi(S) \Rightarrow x \in \pi(K^1)$ and $x \in \pi(S) \Rightarrow x = k^1 + K$ and $x = s + K$, for some $k^1 \in K^1$ and $s \in S \Rightarrow s - k^1 \in K \subseteq K^1 \Rightarrow s \in K^1$ (since $k^1 \in K^1$) $\Rightarrow s \in K^1 \cap S$ (since $s \in S$) $\Rightarrow s = 0$ (since $K^1 \cap S = (0)$) $\Rightarrow x = s + K = 0$. Therefore $\pi(K^1) \cap \pi(S) = (0)$.

Let A be an ideal of R/K such that $A \supsetneq \pi(K^1)$. It is obvious that $A = \pi(K^*)$ for some ideal K^* of R with $K^* \supseteq K^1$. If $K^* = K^1$, then $A = \pi(K^*) = \pi(K^1)$, a contradiction. So $K^* \supsetneq K^1$. Since K^1 is a complement of S, we have that $K^* \cap S \neq (0)$. Let $0 \neq y \in K^* \cap S$. Now $y + K \in \pi(K^*) \cap \pi(S)$. If $y + K = 0$, then $y \in K \Rightarrow y \in K \cap S \subseteq K^* \cap S = (0)$, a contradiction. Hence $0 \neq y + K \in \pi(K^*) \cap \pi(S)$. This shows that $\pi(K^1)$ is a complement of $\pi(S)$.

Conversely suppose that $\pi(K^1) = K^1/K$ is a complement of an ideal $\pi(I) = I/K$ of R/K. Now we have to verify that K^1 is a complement ideal in R. By Note 1.2, there exists a complement X of K such that $Z \subseteq X$. Since $K^1 \cap I = K$, we have that $K^1 \cap (I \cap Z) = (K^1 \cap I) \cap Z = K \cap Z = (0)$ and so $K^1 \cap (I \cap Z) = (0)$. Let Y be a complement of $I \cap Z$ with $Y \supseteq K^1$. Now since $Y \supseteq K^1 \supseteq K$ and $I \supseteq K$, we have $Y \cap I \supseteq K$. Also $(Y \cap I) \cap Z = Y \cap (I \cap Z) = (0)$. Since $Y \cap I \supseteq K$, $(Y \cap I) \cap Z = (0)$ and K is a complement of Z, it follows that $Y \cap I = K$. So $\pi(Y) \cap \pi(I) = (0)$. Since $Y \supseteq K^1$, we have $\pi(Y) \supseteq \pi(K^1)$. Now $\pi(Y) \supseteq \pi(K^1)$, $\pi(Y) \cap \pi(I) = (0)$ and $\pi(K^1)$ is a complement of $\pi(I)$, it follows that $\pi(Y) = \pi(K^1)$. Now we have that $Y = K^1$. [*Verification*: We know that $Y \supseteq K^1$. Let $x \in Y$. Then $\pi(x) \in \pi(Y) = \pi(K^1) \Rightarrow x + K \in \pi(K^1) \Rightarrow x + K = y + K$, for some $y \in K^1$ $\Rightarrow x - y \in K \subseteq K^1$ and $y \in K^1 \Rightarrow x - y \in K^1$ and $y \in K^1 \Rightarrow x \in K^1$. Therefore $Y = K^1$]. Since Y is a complement, we conclude that K^1 is a complement.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ *(i)*: Since K is an ideal of R containing K, and since $\pi(K) = 0$ is a complement in R/K, it follows that K is complement in R.

2.2 Lemma: Let $K \trianglelefteq R$ and $\pi: R \to R/K$ be the canonical epimorphism. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:
(i) K is a complement; and
(ii) For any essential ideal S of R, $\pi(S)$ is essential in R/K.

Proof: *(i)* $\Rightarrow$ *(ii)*: Let S be an essential ideal of R. To show $\pi(S)$ is essential in R/K, take an ideal Z/K of R/K such that $\pi(S) \cap (Z/K) = (0)$. It is enough to show $Z = K$. In a contrary way, suppose $Z \neq K$. Then by Proposition 1.11 (i), K has no proper essential extensions. So K is not essential in Z and hence there exists an ideal $(0) \neq A$ of R such that $A \cap K = (0)$ and $A \subseteq Z$. Since S is essential in R, there exists $0 \neq x \in S \cap A \Rightarrow \pi(x) \in \pi(S) \cap \pi(A) \subseteq \pi(S) \cap \pi(Z) = (0)$ $\Rightarrow \pi(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x + K = 0 \Rightarrow x \in K \Rightarrow x \in K \cap A$ (since $x \in A$) $= (0) \Rightarrow x = 0$, a contradiction. Thus $Z = K$. We proved that $\pi(S)$ is essential in R/K.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ *(i)*: Assume the converse hypothesis. In a contrary way, suppose that K is not a complement. By Proposition 1.11 (i), K has a proper essential extension K^*. Let X be a complement of K^* in R. Then $K^* \oplus X$ is essential in R (by Note 1.2). Since K is essential in K^* by Lemma 1.4, $K \oplus X$ is essential in $K^* \oplus X$ and so $K \oplus X$ is essential in R (by Result 1.3 (i)). By the converse hypothesis, we get that $\pi(K + X)$ is essential in R/K. Since K^* contains K properly, $\pi(K^*)$ is a non-zero ideal of R/K. Now $(K + X) \cap K^* = K + (X \cap K^*) = K$, which shows that $\pi(K + X) \cap \pi(K^*) = (0)$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $\pi(K + X)$ is essential in R/K.

Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we get the following Theorem.

2.3 Theorem: Let K be an ideal of R and $\pi: R \to R/K$ be the canonical epimorphism. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) K is a complement;
(ii) For any ideal K^1 of R containing K, we have that K^1 is a complement in R if and only if $\pi(K^1)$ is complement in R/K; and
(iii) For any essential ideal S of R, $\pi(S)$ is essential in R/K.

3. DIMENSION OF THE QUOTIENT RING R/K

3.1 Lemma: Let R be a Ring with FDI. If A is an ideal of R such that $\dim(R/A) = 1$ and A is not essential in R, then $\dim(R/A) = \dim R - \dim A$.

Proof: Since A is not essential, there is a non-zero ideal I of R such that $A \cap I = (0)$. Let K be a complement of A containing I. Suppose $\dim K \geq 2$. Then K contains a direct sum of two uniform ideals I_1 and I_2 of R. Clearly $I_i \cap A = (0)$ for $i = 1, 2$. By Theorem 1.6 (iii), $\left(\dfrac{I_1 + A}{A}\right)$, $\left(\dfrac{I_2 + A}{A}\right)$ are two uniform ideals of R/A. It is easy to verify that the sum $\left(\dfrac{I_1 + A}{A}\right) + \left(\dfrac{I_2 + A}{A}\right)$ is direct and hence $\dim(R/A) \geq 2$, a contradiction. Hence $\dim K \ngeq 2$. Since $K \neq (0)$, by Theorem 1.8 (iv), we have that $\dim K \geq 1$. Therefore $\dim K = 1$. Since K is complement of A, the sum K + A is direct and essential in R. So $\dim R = \dim(K + A)$ (by Theorem 1.10) $= \dim K + \dim A$ (by Result 1.9) $= 1 + \dim A = \dim(R/A) + \dim A$. Hence $\dim(R/A) = \dim R - \dim A$.

It is well known that if V is a finite dimensional vector space and W is a subspace of V, then $\dim(V/W) = \dim V - \dim W$. This dimension condition may not hold for a general ideal W of a Ring V where "dim" denotes the "finite dimension". For this, observe the following examples.

3.2 Examples: Write $R = \mathbb{Z}$, the ring of integers. Since every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ is essential in $\mathbb{Z}$, it follows that $\mathbb{Z}$ is uniform and so $\dim R = 1$.
(i) Write $K = 6\mathbb{Z}$. Now K is an uniform ideal of R. So $\dim K = 1$ and $\dim R - \dim K = 1 - 1 = 0$.
Now $R/K = \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_6 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 + \mathbb{Z}_3$ and so $\dim(R/K) = 2$.
Thus $\dim(R/K) = 2 \neq 0 = \dim R - \dim K$.
(ii) Let p, q be distinct primes and consider H, the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by the product of these primes (that is, $H = pq\mathbb{Z}$). Now H is uniform ideal and so $\dim H = 1$. It is known that $\mathbb{Z}/H = \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q$, and $\mathbb{Z}_p$, $\mathbb{Z}_q$ are uniform ideals. So $\dim(\mathbb{Z}/H) = 2$. Thus $\dim(\mathbb{Z}/H) = 2 \neq 0 = 1 - 1 = \dim \mathbb{Z} - \dim H$.

Hence, there arise a type of ideals K which satisfy the condition $\dim(R/K) = \dim R - \dim K$.

3.3 Theorem: If R has FDI and K is a complement ideal, then $\dim(R/K) = \dim R - \dim K$.

Proof: By Proposition 1.11 (ii), we have that R/K has FDI. If $\dim(R/K) = 1$, then by Lemma 3.1, $\dim(R/K) = \dim R - \dim K$. Suppose $\dim(R/K) = m$, where $m \geq 2$. Then by Theorem 1.7, there exist ideals $K_1, K_2, \ldots, K_m$ of R containing K properly such that K_i/K is an uniform ideal for $1 \leq i \leq m$, the sum $(K_1/K) + (K_2/K) + \ldots + (K_m/K)$ is direct and essential in R/K. Clearly $K = K_i \cap K_j$, $i \neq j$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$. Since K is a complement ideal of R, by Proposition 1.11 (i), we have that K is not essential in K_i, $1 \leq i \leq m$. So there exist uniform ideals I_i ($1 \leq i \leq m$) of R such that $I_i \subseteq K_i$ and $I_i \cap K = (0)$. By a straight forward verification, we get that the sum $K + I_1 + I_2 + \ldots + I_m$ is direct. Now we verify that $T = K + I_1 + I_2 + \ldots + I_m$ is essential in R. Let H be an ideal of R such that $T \cap H = (0)$. Then $T \cap (H + K) = (T \cap H) + K$ (by modular law) $= (0) + K = K$. So $(T/K) \cap \dfrac{(H + K)}{K} = (0)$. Since $\dfrac{(I_i + K)}{K}$ is a non-zero ideal of the uniform ideal K_i/K, it follows that $\dfrac{(I_i + K)}{K}$ is essential in K_i/K, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. By Lemma 1.4, $\dfrac{(I_1 + K)}{K} + \dfrac{(I_2 + K)}{K} + \ldots + \dfrac{(I_m + K)}{K}$ is essential in R/K. Therefore T/K is essential in R/K. Since $(T/K) \cap \dfrac{(H + K)}{K} = (0)$, we have

$\dfrac{(H+K)}{K}$ = (0) and so H $\subseteq$ K. So H = H $\cap$ K $\subseteq$ H $\cap$ T = (0). This shows that the sum T = K + I_1 + ... + I_m is essential in R.   Now dim R = dim(K + I_1 + ... + I_m) (by Theorem 1.10)   = dim K + dim I_1 + dim I_2 + ... + I_m (by Result 1.9) = dimK + $\underbrace{1 + ... + 1}_{\text{m-terms}}$ (by Theorem 1.8 (iii)) = dim K + m = dim K + dim(R/K). Therefore dim(R/K) = dim R – dim K.

4. COMPARISON WITH THE PREVIOUS WORK DONE IN THE RELEVANT FIELDS

(i) A module is a generalized concept of vector space. If W is a subspace of a vector space V and dim W = dim V, then V = W. But in case of modules, if W is a submodule of a module M with dim W = dim M, then W is essential in M, but W may not be equal to M. Due to this fact the study of Goldie dimension in modules becomes important. A ring is a module over itself. So the theory developed in modules is also a contribution to the theory of Rings.

(ii) A ring R is a module R_R. The right ideals in R coincide with the submodules of R_R. So the dimension theory developed in modules speaks about the results related to the dimension of one sided "right ideals" of rings. But the results obtained in module theory can not speak about the dimension of two sided ideals of rings. To fill this gap, Satyanarayana, Nagaraju, Bala Murugan, and Godloza [4] started studying the concept 'dimension of two sided ideals' in rings. The study was continued in [5] and [7]. The further study on this concept formed the results of the present paper.'

5. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

This paper is the continuation of the published papers [4] and [5]. In this present paper, we are able to obtain several interesting results related to the concept dimension of rings with respect to two sided ideals. We proved fundamental and critical relations between complement ideals and essential ideals. In general the statement:
dim(R/K) = dim R – dim K, is not true for two sided ideals K. To explain this fact, an example was presented.   Finally we achieved the result and able to prove the important statement that dim(R/K) = dim R – dim K, for a particular type of submodule (namely, complement submodule). We continue this work, in near future, to get some more important dimension conditions in rings with respect to two sided ideals.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

7. REFERENCES

1.   A. W. Goldie *"The Structure of Noetherian Rings*, *Lectures on Rings and Modules",* Springer-Verlag, New York (1972).

2.   Bh. Satyanarayana *"A note on E-direct and S-inverse Systems"*, Proc. of the Japan Academy, 64-A:292-295, 1988.

3.   Bh. Satyanarayana and Sk. Mohiddin Shaw *"Fuzzy Dimension of Modules over Rings (Monograph)"*, VDM Verlag Dr Muller, Germany, (2010) (ISBN: 978-3-639-23197-7).

4.   Bh. Satyanarayana D. Nagaraju, K. S. Balamurugan and L. Godloza *"Finite Dimension in Associative Rings"*, Kyungpook Mathematical Journal, 48:37-43, 2008.

Bh. Satyanarayana, D. Nagaraju, M. Babu Prasad & Sk. Mohiddin Shaw

5. Bh. Satyanarayna, D. Nagaraju, L. Godloza and S. Sreenadh *"Some Dimension Conditions in Rings with Finite Dimension"*, The PMU Journal of Humanities and Sciences 1(1):69-75, 2010.

6. Bh. Satyanarayana, D. Nagaraju, Sk. Mohiddin Shaw and S. Eswaraiah Setty *"E-irreducible Ideals and Some Equivalent Conditions"*, Proceedings of International Conference on Challenges and Applications of Mathematics in Science and Technology (CAMIST), NIT, Rourkela, India, January 11-13, 2010, PP 681-687, Macmillan Advanced Research Series, New Delhi, 2010 (ISBN: 978-0230-32875-4).

7. Bh. Satyanarayana, L. Godloza and D. Nagaraju *"Ideals and Direct Product of Zero Square Rings"*, East Asian Mathematical Journal 24:377-387, 2008.

8. Bh. Satyanarayana and K. Syam Prasad *"Discrete Mathematics and Graph Theory"* Prentice Hall of India, New Delhi, (2009) (ISBN: 978-81-203-3842-5).

INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTRIBUTORS

The *International Journal of Contemporary Advanced Mathematics (IJCM)* brings together both of these aspects of biology and creates a platform for exploration and progress of these, relatively new disciplines by facilitating the exchange of information in the fields of computing and statistics, mathematics in the Technology sciences are expected to have a substantial impact on the scientific, engineering and economic development of the world. Together they are a comprehensive application of mathematics, statistics, science and computer science with an aim to understand living systems.

We invite specialists, researchers and scientists from the fields of computer science, mathematics, statistics, physics and such related sciences to share their understanding and contributions towards scientific applications that set scientific or policy objectives, motivate method development and demonstrate the operation of new methods in the field of Contemporary Advanced Mathematics.

To build its International reputation, we are disseminating the publication information through Google Books, Google Scholar, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ), Open J Gate, ScientificCommons, Docstoc and many more. Our International Editors are working on establishing ISI listing and a good impact factor for IJCM.

The initial efforts helped to shape the editorial policy and to sharpen the focus of the journal. Started with Volume 1, 2010, IJCM appears in more focused issues. Besides normal publications, IJCM intend to organized special issues on more focused topics. Each special issue will have a designated editor (editors) – either member of the editorial board or another recognized specialist in the respective field.

We are open to contributions, proposals for any topic as well as for editors and reviewers. We understand that it is through the effort of volunteers that CSC Journals continues to grow and flourish.

LIST OF TOPICS
The realm of International Journal of Contemporary Advanced Mathematics extends, but not limited, to the following:

- Biomedical modelling and computer simulation
- Computational intelligence
- DNA assembly, clustering, and mapping
- Fuzzy logic
- Gene identification and annotation
- Hidden Markov models
- Molecular evolution and phylogeny
- Molecular sequence analysis

- Computational genomics
- Computational proteomics
- E-health
- Gene expression and microarrays
- Genetic algorithms
- High performance computing
- Molecular modelling and simulation
- Neural networks

CALL FOR PAPERS

Volume: 2 - Issue: 1

i. Paper Submission: April 30, 2011   **ii. Author Notification:** May 31, 2011

iii. Issue Publication: June 2011

CONTACT INFORMATION

Computer Science Journals Sdn BhD
M-3-19 Plaza Damas, Sri Hartamas
50480, Kuala Lumpur
Malaysia

Phone: 006 03 6207 1607
006 03 2782 6991

Fax: 006 03 6207 1697
Email: cscpress@cscjournals.org